

UNIVERSITY SENATE ANNUAL COMMITTEE REPORT

Committee Name: Sabbatical Committee, 2016-2017

Number of Meetings Held this Year: 4, several e-mail discussions

Committee Chair: Subash Jonnalagadda, Chemistry and Biochemistry, CSM

Committee Members: (list here)

1	Subash Jonnalagadda	Chair, Faculty - Math/Science
2	Janet Lindman	Faculty-Humanities/SS
3	Robert Wieman	Faculty-Education
4	Jia Wang	Faculty-Business
5	Kathryn Quigley	Faculty-Comm/Creative Arts
6	Davide Ceriani	Faculty-Performing Arts
7	Parth Bhavsar	Faculty- Engineering
8	Philip LaPorta	Faculty-Math/Science
9	Nancy Vitalone Raccaro	Faculty
10	Christine Davidian	Librarian
11	Michael DiSanto	AFT (non-voting)

Purpose of/Charge to Committee: *The Sabbatical Leave Committee shall conduct its review of applications for sabbatical leave, and make its recommendations to the President in accordance with the current contractual agreement.*

Summary of Activities this Year:

- The committee met four times on 10/28/2016, 11/11/2016, 11/18/2016, and 12/02/2016.
- 22 semesters of sabbatical leave were requested by 16 applicants (down from 31 semesters of sabbatical leave by 22 applicants in the previous year).
- College-wise Breakdown of Sabbatical Leaves recommended by the senate committee
 - COB (2)
 - CCCA (2)
 - COEd (0)
 - COEng (1)
 - CHSS (5)
 - CSM (5)
 - CPA (1)

- After the review at the Provost level, 14 of the 16 applicants were recommended for sabbatical leave. All the applicants were notified by the Provost's office in writing on 02/09/2017.
- Recommendations were accepted and approved at the BOT meeting on 04/07/2017.

UNIVERSITY SENATE ANNUAL COMMITTEE
SUGGESTIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

2016-17

Name of Committee: Sabbatical Committee

SUGGESTIONS:

There should be a well-defined process for providing the feedback to unsuccessful applicants so that they could use this feedback for future resubmissions. Currently, the applicants may receive the feedback by contacting the chair of the sabbatical committee.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The chair recommends the inclusion of the feedback from prior unsuccessful applications in future resubmission. The committee felt that the resubmission applications will be further strengthened if the candidates briefly address the feedback in their future submissions.